

**Recap:** The general abstract Coxeter group with  $n$  generators is

$$\Gamma = \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-1} : (\rho_i \rho_j)^{p_{ij}} = 1, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \rangle,$$

where  $p_{ii} = 1$  and  $2 \leq p_{ij} = p_{ji} \leq \infty$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Recall  $V$  has real basis  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  and symmetric bilinear form specified by  $a_i \cdot a_j := -2 \cos \pi/p_{ij}$ . Thus  $r_j(x) = x - (x \cdot a_j)a_j$ , and  $G = \langle r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $O(V)$ .

**Theorem** (Bourbaki, i.e. Tits?) [2, Ch. 5.3-5.4] The mapping  $\rho_j \mapsto r_j$  induces a faithful representation  $R : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ . (By this ‘standard representation’ we often identify  $\Gamma$  and  $G$ .)

**Outline of Proof.**

1. **Step 1:** prove that the  $\rho_j$  have period 2, using the multiplicative group  $\{\pm 1\}$ . From the Substitution Theorem (von Dyck) mapping all  $\rho_j \mapsto -1$  induces an epimorphism

$$\text{sgn} : \Gamma \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

2. **Step 2:** examine the length function  $l(\gamma)$  (w.r.t generators  $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-1}$ ).

**Definition:**  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  has length  $l(\gamma) = k$  if  $\gamma = \rho_{i_1} \cdots \rho_{i_k}$  where  $k$  is minimal (so this word in the generators is reduced).

Check:  $l(\gamma) = 0$  if- $\gamma = 1$ ;  $l(\gamma) = 1$  if- $\gamma = \rho_j$  for some  $j$ ;  $l(\gamma) = l(\gamma^{-1})$ ;  
 $l(\gamma\alpha) \leq l(\gamma) + l(\alpha)$  (triangle inequality).

**Exercise:**  $\text{sgn}(\gamma) = (-1)^{l(\gamma)}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

It follows that  $l(\gamma\rho_j) = l(\gamma) \pm 1$ , for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and any  $\rho_j$ .

**Remark:** determining when  $+$ , when  $-$  is crucial; relates to how mirror for  $\rho_j$  positioned relative to the (geometrical) flag associated to  $\gamma$ .

3. **Step 3:** Introduce  $R : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ , which induces an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $V$ :  $\gamma(x) = R(\gamma)(x)$ , for  $\gamma \in \Gamma, x \in V$ .

The fact that  $R$  is well-defined again uses the Substitution Theorem. Consider the relation  $(\rho_i \rho_j)^{p_{ij}}$  for  $i \neq j$ . The bilinear form induced in the plane spanned by  $a_i, a_j$  in  $V$  is precisely that which we encountered in Lecture 2, when we observed that the dihedral reflection group  $I_2(q)$  acts faithfully in a familiar way on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Now check that the period of  $r_i r_j$  is determined by its action on the plane spanned by  $a_i, a_j$ .

4. **Step 4:** study the root system

$$\Phi = \cup_{j=0}^{n-1} \Gamma a_j$$

(a union of orbits). Think of the set of all mirror normals; note all have same length  $\sqrt{2}$ .

For  $b \in \Phi$  write  $b = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j a_j$  and define

$b > 0$  if all  $t_j \geq 0$ ; eg.  $a_j > 0$ , so  $a_j \in \Phi^+$ .

$b < 0$  if all  $t_j \leq 0$ ; eg.  $\rho_j(a_j) = -a_j < 0$ , so  $-a_j \in \Phi^-$ .

\*\* Not clear every root  $b \in \Phi$  is in fact one of  $+$  or  $-$ !!

5. **Step 5:** 1st Delicate Proposition [2, Thm. 5.4]. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} l(\gamma\rho_j) > l(\gamma) & \text{ implies } \gamma(a_j) > 0 \\ l(\gamma\rho_j) < l(\gamma) & \text{ implies } \gamma(a_j) < 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Note how both situations can happen. We are relating an abstract length function to concrete geometry.

6. **Step 6:** Consequences of 1st Delicate Proposition.

(a) Every root is  $+$  or  $-$ :  $\Phi = \Phi^+ \sqcup \Phi^-$

(b) The representation  $R$  is faithful. Yes!

(c)  $\Gamma$  satisfies the *intersection condition*. For any  $I \subseteq \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , let

$$\Gamma_I := \langle \rho_k : k \in I \rangle$$

(a *parabolic* subgroup of  $\Gamma$ ). Then for all  $I, J \subseteq \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , we have

$$\Gamma_I \cap \Gamma_J = \Gamma_{I \cap J} . \tag{2}$$

This is true for any Coxeter group, regardless of the topology of its diagram.

(d)  $\Gamma_I$  is a Coxeter group. Its diagram is the subdiagram on the node set  $I$ . Its intrinsic length function  $l_I = l$  on  $\Gamma_I$  (a kind of convexity condition in the Coxeter complex).

In other words, one cannot reduce the word length by moving from  $I$  to  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

7. **Step 7:** Proof of 1st Delicate Proposition

The first possibility, i.e.

$$l(\gamma\rho_j) > l(\gamma) \text{ implies } \gamma(a_j) > 0,$$

actually forces the second. We use induction on  $k = l(\gamma)$ . Since  $\gamma = 1$  if  $k = 0$ , and  $a_j > 0$ , the case  $k = 0$  is settled. So suppose  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma = \rho_{i_1} \cdots \rho_{i_k}$  is a reduced word. Then  $l(\gamma\rho_{i_k}) = k-1 < k = l(\gamma)$ , so  $j \neq i_k$ . Now look very carefully at the dihedral group  $\langle r_j, r_{i_k} \rangle = \Gamma_{j, i_k}$  acting on the plane spanned by  $a_j$  and  $a_{i_k}$ . In [2, 5.4] we see how to choose a special coset representative in  $\gamma\langle r_j, r_{i_k} \rangle$ . We look carefully at the length function  $l_{\{j, i_k\}}$  in this dihedral group. The argument in the finite case  $p_{j, i_k} < \infty$  is finicky, but really just amounts to seeking a shortest path along edges of the regular polygon.

8. **Step 8:** Read [2] or [1]. There is much, much more to learn here.

## References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chapitres IV-VI*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.  
 [2] J. E. HUMPHREYS, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.